

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/752,726	01/07/2004	Timothy J. Crist	2973-A-31	4732	
7590 07/02/2004			EXAMINER		
Cahill, von Hellens & Glazer P.L.C.			SWIATEK, ROBERT P		
155 Park One 2141 East Highland Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Phoenix, AZ 85016			3643		

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/752,72	<u>!</u> 6	CRIST ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert P.		3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE MA - Extension after SID - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure to Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) district for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolution. iays, a reply within the state ory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ınication.			
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>07 Janua</u> ry 200	<u>4</u> .					
·	•	∏ This action is n						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	n Papers							
9)⊠ Tł	ne specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
•	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🛛 Informa	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT lo(s)/Mail Date 1-7-04.			Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farkas et al. (US 5,911,199). The Farkas et al. animal training device includes collar 14 attached to housing 16 containing control circuitry 54, transducer 44, and battery 42. Four electrodes 36 can be provided in openings 26, 28 formed within plates 18, 19 of the housing. Since the electrodes are in a rectangular array, an electrode 36 associated with, for example, flange 18A is offset from the straight line extending between the electrodes associated with flanges 19A, 19B; the offset electrode can be considered to comprise a "stabilizing post."

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farkas et al. in view of Gonda (US 5,193,484: Ref. c on PTO-1449). The electrodes 36 of Farkas et al. lack insulating sheaths. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to surround the electrodes 36 of Farkas et al. with insulating material such that the electrode tips protruded

Art Unit: 3643

beyond the insulation about 0.1 inch, in view of the patent to Gonda that such a construction minimizes parallel resistance between electrodes when the animal's fur is wet, increasing the sharpness of the electroshock stimulus (see column 8, lines 22-28, of Gonda).

Claims 11, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farkas et al. in view of Van Curen et al. (US 6,058,889). The collar and associated circuitry of Farkas et al. lack a vibration sensor capable of detecting vibrations indicative of barking and subsequently activating the electroshock stimulus. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate a vibration sensor into the stimulus control circuitry 54 of Farkas et al., in view of the patent to Van Curen et al. that the training device can then serve as a bark inhibitor (see elements 36, 44 of Van Curen et al.).

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farkas et al. In Figure 3 of Farkas et al., the electrode occupying the opening 28 in flange 18A is considered to constitute a stabilizing means for preventing rocking movement of the unit 16 about a line connecting the electrodes 36 of flanges 19A, 19B.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. In claim 1, line 11, claim 7, line 14, claim 11, line 17, claim 15, line 18, claim 19, line 12, and claim 20, line 14, each occurrence of the expression "and/or" is unclear and does not properly limit the scope of the invention.

Claims 2, 8, 12, 17, 18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/752,726 Page 4

Art Unit: 3643

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 2, line 16, "decreasing" should be changed to –decrease–; on page 6, line 7, the brief description of Figure 4 should be amended to refer to Figures 4-1 and 4-2 (the detailed description should be amended

accordingly).

Appropriate correction is required.

The references to Yarnall Sr. et al. (US 5,769,032) and James (US 2004/0000274 A1) have been cited to provide additional examples of animal training devices.

RPS: ©703/308-2700

28 June 2004

Robert P. Swietelz ROBERT P. SWIATEK PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 383 3643